

What We Don't Yet Know, What We Know

&

What We Can Do Now

by

Alain L. Kornhauser, PhD



Professor, ORFE

(Operations Research & Financial Engineering)

Director, CARTS

(Center for Automated Road Transportation Safety)

Faculty Chair, PAVE

(Princeton Autonomous Vehicle Engineering)

Princeton University

Presented at



**Connected & Automated
Vehicle Conference**

What States Need to Know

June 22, 2016

Maritime Institute

NYC



What Problem are/should we be addressing

- Today (Self-Driving) ... It is all about **SAFETY!**

What Problem are/should we be addressing

- Deaths/yr.: ~ **35K US**; ~**1.25M World**
- Leading cause of death for ages of **5 -> 35**
- One of the most dangerous occupations
 - Worse than coal mining
- [NHTSA: Car Crashes cost US \\$871B/yr](#) (~ \$2,800/person 5/29/14)
 - (**\$2.8K/person**); 1/3 Cash
- Liability expenses 2013 (Transit Buses, US) \$500M/yr.
 - \$6,300/bus/yr (120 fatalities/yr)
- > **90%** involve Human error
- The Bad news (Safety Council's Press release): **Things are getting worse!**

What Problem are/should we be addressing

Press Release on Feb 16, 2016:



NSC Motor Vehicle Fatality Estimates

Prepared by the Statistics Department
National Safety Council

Motor-vehicle deaths up 8% in 2015.

With continued lower gasoline prices and an improving economy resulting in an estimated 3.5% increase in motor-vehicle mileage, the number of motor-vehicle deaths in 2015 totaled 38,300, up 8% from 2014. The 2015 estimate is provisional and may be revised when more data are

<http://www.nsc.org/NewsDocuments/2016/mv-fatality-report-1215.pdf>

What Problem are/should we be addressing

- Today (Self-Driving) ... It is all about **SAFETY!**
- Ultimately (Driverless) ... It is all about **Enhanced Mobility**
 - “On Demand” for All
 - Substantially Cheaper
 - Substantially Safer
 - Substantially More Energy Efficient
 - Substantially Lower GHG & Other Pollutants
 - Substantially Less/Elimination of Road Congestion

What Are We Talking About

- **Self-driving** vehicle automation & intelligence.
(Reliably keep vehicle centered in a lane, follow traffic, not hit anything)

Enhances and Relieves Human Driver

- **Driverless** vehicle automation & intelligence.
(Reliably operate at highway speeds in mixed traffic with no one at the wheel)

Replaces Human Driver

Will necessarily require Some Oversight/Monitoring; thus require

- **Connected** vehicle information.

(Communication between Vehicles & Infrastructure,

Enhances Human Driver & Self-driving and Necessary for Driverless

Has existed for a while: Ex.: Real-time traffic & [CoPilot | Live](#)



What We Don't Yet know

- **Self-driving** vehicle automation & intelligence.
(Reliably keep vehicle centered in a lane, follow traffic, not hit anything ~Tesla AutoPilot/MB Driver Assist)
How to properly transition between Conventional Driving & Self-driving
- **Driverless** vehicle automation & intelligence.
(Reliably operate at highway speeds in mixed traffic with no one in the vehicle)
To date this is simply a “Sunday Supplement” Concept
No idea about the Fleet-management aspects including the public oversight aspects
- **Connected** vehicle information.
(Communication between Vehicles & Infrastructure, V2X)
No idea about how to fund the infrastructure needs of a centralized “V2X” concept

What We Know

- **Self-driving** vehicle automation & intelligence.
(Reliably keep vehicle centered in a lane, follow traffic, not hit anything ~Tesla AutoPilot/MB Driver Assist)

Available Today

This is what is important to I-95 CC

- **Driverless** vehicle automation & intelligence.
(Reliably operate at highway speeds in mixed traffic with no one in the vehicle)

Doesn't Exist Today

The **Easy Part** will be the Driverless portion on "I-95s"
The **Hard part** will be getting to/from I-95s Driverless

- **Connected** vehicle information.
(Communication between Vehicles & Infrastructure, V2X)

Add-on that enhances Self-driving and Driverless

Otherwise way too "Orwellian"

What We Know

- **Self-driving** vehicle automation & intelligence.

(Reliably keep vehicle centered in a lane, follow traffic, not hit anything ~Tesla AutoPilot/MB Driver Assistance package)

Cost_{Today} ≤ \$2,500. (consumer)

Cost_{Today} ~ \$ 0. (public sector)

Tangible Value_{Accident Liability Reduced by 50%} ≥ \$1,000/year

Rol_{Today} ≤ 3 years on a vehicle life ≥ 10 years

This is a REAL Business Case!

This one is **Inevitable**

What We Can Do Now

- **I-95 Corridor should be Welcoming to Self-driving**
 - View Self-driving Cars as our **Customers** (helping us be safer and more efficient)
 - Variable message signs should encourage use of
 - Cruise Control, Intelligent Cruise Control & Self-driving (when appropriate)
- **I-95 Corridor should pride itself in always having good & consistent “paint” (visual lane markings) and signs**
 - These benefit every driver (and every self-driving system) today and tomorrow.
- **I-95 Corridor should establish a relationship with each manufacturer to monitor using crowd sourcing the performance of its Self-driving systems throughout the corridor**
 - And have this information used in maintaining the corridor.
- **I-95 CC should request that NHTSA permit vehicles to visually display when they are using self-driving.**
 - Conventional drivers need to know which neighboring vehicles are behaving like human drivers (constant depression of the gas pedal, or self driving).

Discussion!

Thank You

alaink@princeton.edu

www.SmartDrivingCar.com